

## REMARKS


Responsive to the Official Action dated September 9, 2003, the applicants amend claim 8 to remove the typographical error. Claim 8 now avoids any objection thereto and is understood to be allowed. Claims 9 through 13 depending from claim 8 are allowed as well as are claims 14 through 20 according to the Examiner's indications.

Claims 1 and 7 are cancelled with new claim 21 incorporating the subject matter of claims 1 and 7 being added to the application. Claim 21 is believed to be allowable due to the applicants' belief that neither Cunningham et al nor Johnson, Jr., or any combination thereof, teach a diffuse material insert in an elliptical reflective lens as is recited in claim 21. Accordingly, the applicants request consideration of the allowability of new claim 21. Claims 2 through 6 are amended to change dependency to new claim 21.

The applicants have also amended portions of the specification in order to correct inadvertent errors.

The applicants believe the application to be in condition for allowance and respectfully request that favorable consideration be given the application as amended.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Kenneth E. Darnell  
Reg. No. 26541

PO Box 16362  
Portal, Arizona 85632  
520 558-2216